NEW YORK TIMES 25 OCTOBER 1975

## Mitchell Denies He Knew of Mail Open

Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, Oct. 24—project in June, 1970. N. Mitcheil told a Senate com-the Attorney General about a C.I.A. was opening mail.

ate Select Committee on Intel-testified, meant to him that of lying. ligence appeared to conflict security agencies photographed day by Richard Helms, former to obtain the names of the ad-in view of the possibility they activity.

By NICHOLAS M. HORROCK testified that he advised Mr. Helms, however, said on Wed-

Mitchell of the mail-opening nesday that he presumed from the context of the conversation; Former Attorney General John Mr. Helms said he had told that Mr. Mitchell knew the

mittee under oath today that "mail cover" and acknowledged The committee chairman, i officials of the Central Intelli-that "in those times I'm not Frank Church, Democrat of gence Agency and Federal sure the Attorney General Idaho, told reporters that while and C.I.A. documents have there was an obvious conflict and C.I.A. documents have never told him that the agent "mail cover" and actual mail in Mr. Mitchell's and Mr. indicated that agency officials class were secretly opening mail, opening.

Helms's testimony, he was not throughout the years knew the

under President Johnson, may have known that the F.B.I. conducted mail-opening projects in eight cities apparently without the approval of any Attorney General and without a warrant from a court. The F.B.I. legally opens mail publicly on the matter.

on March 2, 1965, J. Edgar Floover, then director of the F.B.I., said that Mr. Katzenbach given. in the Senate.

agents and if they were asked if mail was opened, they would take the Fifth Amendment."

"The Attorney General stated that before they are called, he would like to know who they are and whether they were ever involved in any program touching on national security and, if not, it is their own business, but if they were, he would want to know," Mr. Hoover's memorandum said.

In a telephone interview late today, Mr. Katzenbach said he had "never heard" that either the C.I.A or the F.B.I. was opening the mail and he suspected he had not been told because the "process is illegal." He said which he said was legal in both criminal and national security agenty are tracking antiwar radicals. Committee of the state of the s

His testimony before the Sen- A mail cover, Mr. Mitchell prepared to accuse either man process was illegal. Moreover, one C.I.A. document showed, There is no basis on which peacetime even the President with a statement made-Wednes- the exteriors of the envelopes I could make such a charge had the power to authorize the

director of the C.I.A., who dressors and addressees. Mr. might just have misunderstood that the bureau tended over the committee also made public today documents that indicated that Nicholas de B. Katzenbach, Attorney General 1951, three former officials said.

The Committee also made question of whether the openings were illegal. The question indicated that Nicholas de B. Katzenbach, Attorney General 1951, three former officials said.

in certain criminal cases after

In a memorandum written obtaining a court order.

No figures for the amount of mail the F.B.I. opened were

had taked to Senator Edward that J. Edgar Hoover, while V. Long, Democrat of Missouri, director of the bureau, halted about keeping information on mail openings out of hearings 1966. W. Raymond Wannall, F.B.I. officials testified today Mr. Long was then conducting now chief of intelligence at the bureau, speculated that Mr. The Attorney General, ac- Hoover may have discontinued cording to the memo, said that the top secret project because Bernard Fensterwald, then he had "a regard for the clicounsel of Mr. Long's commit-mate of the times." He implied tee, "had some possible with that Mr. Hoover might have nesses who are former bureau come to the conclusion that the political climate would not justify the illegal operation.

## Project Lasted Till '73

The C.I.A. did not stop its mail opening until 1973. Mr. Helms testified on Wednesday that the only Attorney General! he ever briefed about mail openings was Mr. Mitchell, in the session that Mr. Mitchell now disputes.

Mr. Mitchell said that in June, 1970; he did have a 22minute meeting with Mr. Helms on a subject he declined to reveal for national security reasons. He has told the committee about it in executive session. Congressional sources indicated that Mr. Helms had been briefhe had believed the intrusion ing Mrt Mitchell on aspects of upon the mail system was only electronic eavesdropping used to conduct a "mail cover," by the National Security Agen-

continued